

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/305,178 05/04/1999		GUILLAUME RIBADEAU-DUMAS	6-1032-035 1699	
803 75	590 03/28/2003			
HENDERSON & STURM LLP 1213 MIDLAND BUILDING 206 SIXTH AVENUE DES MOINES, IA 50309-4076		EXAMINER		
			CORBIN, ARTHUR L	
DES MOINES,	IA 30309-4076		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1761	32
			DATE MAILED: 03/28/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

a de la companya della companya della companya de la companya della companya dell

					
	Application N		Applicant(s)		~ 1
Office Action Summary	Examiner	,1718	141BADE	Group Art Unit	ps tr
	11	_	CORBIN		
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover :	sheet b	eneath the cor	respondence ad	ldress-
P riod for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE	3	MONTH(S)	FROM THE MAI	LING DATE
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Cl from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by def Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	a reply within the stat fault, expire SIX (6) MC statute, cause the app	utory min	nimum of thirty (30 om the mailing dat to become ARANI) days will be considered this communications (35.1.5.0.6)	dered timely. ation.
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	8 - 03				
This action is FINAL.					-
 Since this application is in condition for allowance exce accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 19 	ept for formal matte	ers, pro :).G. 213	secution as to	the merits is cl	osed in
Disposition of Claims					
(Claim(s) 48-56			is/are ne	nding in the appli	ication
Of the above claim(s)					
□ Claim(e)					isideration,
Claim(s) 48-56			is/are rei	ected	
□ Claim(s)					
□ Claim(s)			·		ar election
Application Papers			requirem		i election
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is □ app	roved	☐ disapproved		
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are obj	ected to by the Ex	aminer			
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	·				
$\hfill\Box$ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d)					
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority	/ under 35 U.S.C. §	3 119 (a)	-(d).		
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the:		,	(-7 -		
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been	received.				
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been	received in Applic	ation No	0		
$\hfill \Box$ Copies of the certified copies of the priority document	nts have been rece	eived			
in this national stage application from the Internation	nal Bureau (PCT Ro	ıle 17.2((a))		
*Certified copies not received:					
Attachment(s)		□ In	t rvi w Summa	ry, PTO-413	
Attachment(s) Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper N	NO(s)		Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152		
• •	No(s)		otice of Informa	l Patent Applicat	ion. PTO-152
	•	□ Ne		l Patent Applicat	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 11/00)

Part of Paper No.

Application/Control Number: 09/305,178

Art Unit: 1761

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on February 18, 2003 has been entered.
- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 48-56 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yatka et al (5,458,892) or Meyers et al (5,236,719).

Applicant is referred to the reasoning set forth in paragraph No. 5, Paper No. 25.

Applicant's arguments filed February 18, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant is referred to paragraph No. 3, Paper No. 28.

Further, although Yatka et al may use highly soluble polyols in Examples 190-192, as applicant contends, applicant's claimed polyols, e.g., lactitol and are viable alternatives for the highly soluble polyols used in Examples 190-192, according to col. 6, lines 1-5 and col. 9, lines 36-42 of Yatka et al. Thus, applicant's problem of controlled crystallization will obvious be solved by some of the hard candy compositions disclosed in Yatka et al.

Application/Control Number: 09/305,178

Art Unit: 1761

5. All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the application prior to the entry of the submission under 37 CFR 1.114 and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the application prior to entry under 37 CFR 1.114. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL** even though it is a first action after the filing of a request for continued examination and the submission under 37 CFR 1.114. See MPEP § 706.07(b).

Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to Arthur Corbin whose telephone number is (703) 308-3850. The examiner can generally be reached on Tuesday--Friday from 10 a.m. to 7:30 p.m. and on alternate Mondays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on (703) 308-3959. The fax phone numbers

for the organization where this application is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 305-7115 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

A. Corbin/dh March 27, 2003

ARTHUR L. CORBIN PRIMARY EXAMINER

3-21-03